

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

mk APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. COELHO 30111-PA 09/672,074 09/28/00 **EXAMINER** IM22/0705 BERNHARD KRETEN OLSEN.K ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 77 CADILLAC DRIVE, SUITE 245 SACRAMENTO CA 95825 1744 DATE MAILED: 07/05/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/672,074	COELHO ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	September 2000 .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,11-13,19-23, 28-32, 45-55</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claims 1-5,11-13,19-23,28-32,45-55 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
-,.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).				
Attachment(s)				
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
16) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-692) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	19) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/672,074

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, 11-13, and 28-32, drawn to breakage resistant medical bag, classified in class 435, subclass 307.1.
 - II. Claims 19-23, drawn to method of making bag, classified in class 264, subclass 252.
 - III. Claim 45, drawn to method of reducing container breakage, classified in class 264, subclass 101.
 - IV. Claims 46-55, drawn to a vacuum bag mold, classified in class 425, subclass 500.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by integral blow molding.
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

•

Application/Control Number: 09/672,074 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made without vacuum such as blow or injection molding.

- 4. Inventions IV and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus can make containers without radiused periphery or edges.
- Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility with injection molding. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 6. Inventions IV and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can make containers without radiused periphery.
- 7. Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/672,074

Art Unit: 1744

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used to make non-planar recesses.

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 9. A telephone call was made to Bernard Kreten on 6-19-2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaj Olsen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0506.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Warden, can be reached at (703) 308-2920.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,074

Art Unit: 1744

When filing a fax in Group 1700, please indicate in the header "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of this application. This will expedite processing of your papers. The fax number for this Group is (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kaj K. Olsen, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner

AU 1744

ROBERT J. WARDEN, SR. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Chut J. Warden, An.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700